

SCHOOL \_\_\_\_\_

CAR NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

**PRESENTATION JUDGING**

Score the following categories on the basis of the maximum possible score for each category. The following table is a suggested guide.

Inadequate or no attempt	0%
Attempted but below expectation	25%
Average or expected	50%
Above average but still lacking	75%
Excellent, perfectly meets intent	100%

\_\_\_\_\_ **CONTENT: (0-20)** Were the concepts presented appropriate and adequate to explain how the car meets the intent of the customer? Were enough technical details presented without being boring?

\_\_\_\_\_ **ENERGY CONSIDERATIONS: (0-20)** Was it clear that energy efficiency was a primary consideration? Did the team clearly illustrate how their design would assure that an end user would be able to complete an event on a limited fuel allocation?

\_\_\_\_\_ **ORGANIZATION: (0-15)** Were the concepts presented in a logical order progressing from basic concept and showing how the engineering accomplished the concept? Was it clear to the audience what was to be presented and what was coming next? Were distinct introduction and overviews as well as summary and conclusions given?

\_\_\_\_\_ **VISUAL AIDS: (0-10)** Were visual aids used or clear visual references made to the car? Were the illustrations visible for all of the audience?

\_\_\_\_\_ **DELIVERY: (0-10)** Did the presenter speak in a clear voice? Did the presenter show enthusiasm and promote confidence in the technical aspects? Did he maintain eye contact?

\_\_\_\_\_ **TIMING: (0-5)** Was the presentation well paced? Did they run over time?

\_\_\_\_\_ **QUESTIONS: (0-20)** Did the answer illustrate that the team fully understood the question? Is there doubt that the team understood the answer? Did the team promote complete confidence in their response to the questions?

\_\_\_\_\_ **TOTAL = PRESENTATION POINTS (100 points maximum)**

**COMMENTS:**